

## U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS 425 Eye Street N.W. ULLB, 3rd Floor Washington, D.C. 20536



File:

SRC-01-231-51141

Office: Texas Service Center

Date:

JAN 0 5 2003

Petition:

IN RE: Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and

Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarrantee invasion of personal privacy

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



## INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

> FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER, **EXAMINATIONS**

Robert P. Wiemann, Director Administrative Appeals Office **DISCUSSION:** The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the director and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is an importer, exporter, and distributor of chemicals with three employees and a stated gross annual income of \$1.1 million. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as a marketing analyst for a period of three years. The director determined the beneficiary was not qualified to perform services in a specialty occupation.

On appeal, counsel submits a statement. Counsel indicates that a brief and/or additional evidence would be submitted in support of the appeal on or before April 6, 2002. To date, no additional evidence has been received by this office. Therefore, the record must be considered complete.

8 C.F.R. 103.3 (a) (l) (v) states that an officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

On appeal, counsel argues that the two previously submitted evaluations of the beneficiary's education and work experience are sufficient evidence to demonstrate that he qualifies to perform services in a specialty occupation. However, counsel's statement, standing alone, cannot be considered as sufficient to identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. As the petitioner has provided no additional evidence on appeal to overcome the decision of the director, the appeal will be summarily dismissed in accordance with 8 C.F.R. 103.3(a)(1)(v).

In visa petition proceedings, the burden of proof remains entirely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. Here, that burden has not been met. In accordance with 8 C.F.R. 103.3(a)(l)(v), the appeal will be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.